



#7/8
PATENT 10
9/16/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: ANNAPRAGADA et al.

Attorney Docket No.:
LAM1P154/P0696

Application No.: 09/688,021

Examiner: ANDERSON, Matthew A.

Filed: October 13, 2000

Group: 1765

Title: PROCESS FOR ETCHING VIAS IN
ORGANOSILICATE GLASS MATERIALS
WITHOUT CAUSING RIE LAG

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail to: Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on August 20, 2002.

Signed: _____

Sue Funchess
Sue Funchess

AMENDMENT A

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

AUG 30 2002

TC 1700

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office communication dated May 21, 2002, please amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

In the Claims:

Please amend claims 1 and 7 as follows:

5061
B1
1. (Once Amended) A method for etching a feature [with minimal RIE lag] in an integrated circuit wafer incorporating at least one layer of organosilicate glass dielectric, the method comprising:

positioning the wafer in a reaction chamber;

introducing a flow of etchant gas mixture including C₄F₈ and CF₄ into the reaction chamber; and

striking a plasma with the etchant gas in the reaction chamber.

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

1765
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: ANNAPRAGADA et al.

Attorney Docket No.: LAM1P154/P0696

Application No.: 09/688,021

Examiner: ANDERSON, Matthew A.

Filed: October 13, 2000

Group: 1765

Title: PROCESS FOR ETCHING VIAS IN
ORGANOSILICATE GLASS MATERIALS
WITHOUT CAUSING RIE LAG

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with
the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail to: Box Non-
Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.
20231 on August 20, 2002.Signed: Sue Funchess

Sue Funchess

AMENDMENT A TRANSMITTALBox Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

AUG 30 2002

TC 1700

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	19	MINUS	20	0	x 9 =	x 18 = \$-0-
Independent Claims	3	MINUS	3	0	x 42 =	x 84 = \$-0-
Multiple Dependent Claim Present and Fee Not Previously Paid					\$140.00	\$280.00
Total					\$	\$-0-

- ☐ Applicant(s) hereby petition for a _____ month extension(s) of time to respond to the
aforementioned Office Action.
- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is
determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension
be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time
under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 50-0388 (Order No. LAM1P154).
- ☐ Enclosed is our Check No. _____ in the amount of \$_____ to cover the additional
claim fee and/or extension of time fees.
- ☒ Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the
enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 50-0388 (Order No. LAM1P154).

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLPMichael LeeMichael Lee
Reg. No. 31,846COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILEDP.O. Box 778
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778